

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/732,856	12/11/2003	Chih-Ching Hsien	J5P3002-GFP-922407 9125	
7590 10/18/2004			EXAMINER	
Chih-Ching Hsien			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER	
235 Chung - Ho Box 8-24	0 ·		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Taipei,			3711	
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/732,856	HSIEN, CHIH-CHING	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Stephen L. Blau	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	<u>ly 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	• • •	• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
•			
Attachment(s)	🗖 .		
I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu in view of Vickery.

Lu discloses a front portion having a first inclined and a second inclined surfaces that define a front cusp in a front connection end of said first and second inclined surfaces, a rear portion having a third inclined surface and a fourth inclined surface that defines a rear cusp at a rear connecting end of the third and fourth inclined surfaces, and a middle section having one side connected between the first inclined surface and the third inclined surface and an other side connected between a second inclined surface and a fourth inclined surface with each side being concave into a club body (Enclosure (1)).

Lu lacks at least one reduced segment having a smaller size than other portion thereof, a shoulder enclosing a respective end of the reduced segment being formed between each reduced segment and an adjacent portion, and a reduced segment being wholly reduced from an adjacent portion. Vickery discloses at least one reduced

segment having a smaller size than other portion thereof, a shoulder enclosing a respective end of the reduced segment being formed between each reduced segment and an adjacent portion, and a reduced segment being wholly reduced from an adjacent portion (Fig. 1) in order to have a shaft which simulates an arm (Page 1, Lns. 24-28, Left column). In view of the patent of Vickery it would have been obvious to modify the shaft of Lu to have at least one reduced segment having a smaller size than other portion thereof, a shoulder enclosing a respective end of the reduced segment being formed between each reduced segment and an adjacent portion, and a reduced segment being wholly reduced from an adjacent portion in order to have a shaft which simulates the flexibility of an arm.

Adding the element of structure to claim 3 of each of the two sides of the middle section having only one concave surface would make claim 3 allowable.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/732,856

Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (703) 308-2712. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (703) 308-1513. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

slb/ 12 October 2004

STEPHEN BLAU PRIMARY EXAMINER